

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/042,345	01/11/2002	Yasuaki Tanaka	991482A	6310
23850 759	90 04/13/2004		EXAMINER	
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP			KIM, PETER B	
1725 K STREET SUITE 1000	r, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON	i, DC 20006		2851	

DATE MAILED: 04/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	<u> </u>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Antique Community	10/042,345	TANAKA, YASUAKI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Peter B. Kim	2851				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a real of NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions after the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirt- od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON- tute, cause the application to become AB	aply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communic ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	<u> March 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Ti	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 41,42,46,52-55,59,75-77,85 and 90	<u>0-93</u> is/are pending in the app	olication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 41,42,46,52-55,59,75-77,85 and 90	<u>0-93</u> is/are rejected.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	J/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami						
	iccepted or b) objected to l	·				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	· -					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of form P1O-15.	۷.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 	ents have been received.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pro-	riority documents have been	received in this National Stage	;			
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/I Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>22004</u>. 	08) 5) Notice of it	—·				

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's arguments filed on Mar. 9, 2004 have been fully considered.

Claim Objections

Claim 52 is objected to because of the following informalities: "energy bean" should be "energy beam." Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 92 and 93 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The written description discloses that "the transmittance (attenuation factor) T of the projection optical system PL is lowered gradually, once it has been recovered to a great extent after suspension of the irradiation with the ultraviolet pulse." However, claims 92 and 93 claim that only the degree of recovery gradually decreases, which suggests that the as time passes the rate of recovery is slowed, not that the actual transmittance is decreased, as disclosed in the written description.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/042,345

Art Unit: 2851

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Page 3

Claims 41, 42, 46, 52-55, 59, 75-77, 85, and 90-93 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Takahashi (5,892,573).

Takahashi discloses a method for scanning exposure of a pattern formed on a mask (R) onto a substrate (W) through a projection optical system (10). A light quantity of a portion of exposure light from an illumination optical system is detected using a first light detecting means. A second light receiving means then detects the light quantity of exposure light passed through a transmitting portion of a movable stage. The first light receiving means is detected on a plane conjugate with the reticle, while the second light receiving means is conjugate with the wafer. The sensitivity of the first light detecting means is them corrected on the basis of detected values of first and second light receiving means, δ corresponds to the transmissivity change in the illumination optical system and is stored in the calculating means as the sensitivity coefficient for the second step (col. 12, lines 1-17). Takahashi teaches obtaining function of an attenuation factor by measuring transmittance of the projection optical system at different intervals of the pulse emission (col. 8, lines 1-44 and col. 9, line 27-col. 11, line 50). Thus, in obtaining the attenuation function at various intervals suggest that the attenuation factor recovery of the optical system is inherently included in Takahashi's function. The exposure process may be performed while scanning the reticle and the wafer. The exposure light comprises excimer laser light, such as deep ultraviolet light (col. 1, lines 26-27). (see col. 15, line 11 – col. 16, line 63). Col. 11. lines 58-67 teach if the area of the transmissive portion of the circuit pattern of the mask is small,

Page 4

Art Unit: 2851

such as a contact hole, the transmissivity change of the projection optical system is small. For the sensitivity correction it may be sufficient to monitor only transmissivity variation of the illumination optical system. The exposure process may also comprise detecting a first ratio between the values of the first and second light receiving means in a state in which the reticle is not disposed in the path of the exposure light (interruption), detecting a second ratio between the detected values of the first and second light receiving means in a state when the reticle is disposed in the path of the exposure light and detecting a third ratio between the detected values of the firs and second light receiving means in a state after at least one exposure process. The reticle is then disposed in the path of the exposure light and the sensitivity of the first light receiving means is corrected on the basis of the first second and third ratios. As explained above, the fluctuation of attenuation factor of the projection optical system while the mask is moving relative to the energy beam is obtained. Takahashi discloses detecting the energy beam between the light source and the mask (12) and detecting the energy after it has passed through the projection optical system (13) and obtaining the function use the results of both detections (col. 8, lines 1-63).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed Mar. 9, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the cited reference does not teach a function of an attenuation factor recovery of the projection optical system; however, the attenuation factor recovery of the projection optical system is a part of the calculation in determining exposure quantity as taught by Takahashi (col. 8, lines 1-44 and col. 9, line 27-col. 11, line 50).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-2120. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 AM to 6:00 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays during the same hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Russ Adams can be reached on 571-272-2112. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571 –272-2800.

Peter B. Kim
Patent Examiner
March 31, 2004